FIAN-TD-2012-35
arXiv: yymm.nnnn [hep-th]

arXiv:1211.4498v1 [hep-th] 19 Nov 2012

Conformal totally symmetric arbitrary spin fermionic fields

R.R. Metsaev*

Department of Theoretical Physics, P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute,
Leninsky prospect 53, Moscow 119991, Russia

Abstract

Conformal totally symmetric arbitrary spin fermionic fields in flat space-time of even dimension greater than or equal to four are studied. First-derivative formulation involving Fang-Fronsdal kinetic operator for such fields is developed. Gauge invariant Lagrangian and the corresponding gauge transformations are obtained. Gauge symmetries are realized by involving the Stueckelberg and auxiliary fields. Realization of conformal algebra symmetries on the space of conformal gauge fermionic fields is obtained. On-shell degrees of freedom of the arbitrary spin conformal fermionic field are also discussed.

---

*E-mail: metsaev@lpi.ru

1 Introduction

Commonly used Lagrangian formulations of most conformal fields involve higher derivatives (for review, see Ref.[1]). Higher-derivative Lagrangian formulation of the totally symmetric arbitrary spin *bosonic* conformal fields in 4-dimensional space and in d-dimensional space, $d \geq 4$, was developed in the respective Ref.[1] and Ref.[2].[1] Alternative higher-derivative description of the conformal *bosonic* fields obtained via AdS/CFT duality may be found in Ref.[3]. Higher-derivative Lagrangian formulation of the totally symmetric arbitrary spin conformal *fermionic* fields in 4-dimensional space was developed in Ref.[1]. Higher-derivative Lagrangian formulation of arbitrary spin conformal *fermionic* fields in d-dimensional space, $d > 4$, is not yet developed.

The present paper is a sequel to our papers [8, 9], where the ordinary-derivative formulation of conformal fields was developed. This is to say that our Lagrangians for free bosonic conformal fields do not involve higher than second order terms in derivatives, while our Lagrangians for free fermionic conformal fields do not involve higher than first order terms in derivatives. In Ref.[8], we developed the ordinary-derivative gauge invariant and Lagrangian formulation for free *bosonic and fermionic low-spin* conformal fields. Ordinary-derivative formulations of *bosonic arbitrary spin* conformal fields was developed in Ref.[9]. As we have already said, gauge invariant description of conformal *fermionic* fields (with fixed but arbitrary spin) in d-dimensional space, $d > 4$, is still not available in the literature. In this paper, we develop Lagrangian Lorentz covariant and gauge invariant formulation for totally symmetric arbitrary spin *fermionic* fields in flat space of arbitrary dimension $d \geq 4$.

Our approach to conformal fermionic fields is summarized as follows.

i) By introducing additional field degrees of freedom, we extend the space of fields entering the higher-derivative theory. Some of the additional fields turn out to be Stueckelberg fields, while the remaining additional fields turn out to be auxiliary fields.

ii) Our Lagrangian does not contain higher than first order terms in derivatives. One-derivative contributions to the Lagrangian take the form of the standard Dirac, Rarita-Schwinger, and Fang-Fronsdal kinetic terms of the respective spin-$\frac{1}{2}$, spin-$\frac{3}{2}$, and half integer spin-$(s+\frac{1}{2})$, $s > 1$, fermionic fields.

iii) All vector-spinor and tensor-spinor fermionic fields entering the Lagrangian are supplemented by appropriate gauge symmetries.[2] Gauge transformations of conformal fermionic fields do not involve higher than first order terms in derivatives. One-derivative contributions to the gauge transformations take the form of the standard gradient gauge transformations of the vector-spinor and tensor-spinor fields.

iv) The gauge symmetries of our Lagrangian make it possible to match our approach with the higher-derivative one. This is to say by gauging away the Stueckelberg fields and by solving equations of motion for the auxiliary fields, we can obtain, from our ordinary-derivative formulation, the higher-derivative formulation of conformal fermionic fields.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows.

In Sec. 2, we summarize the notation used in this paper. In Sec.3, we develop the ordinary-derivative formulation for arbitrary spin conformal fermionic field. In Sec.3.1, we start with the discussion of field content entering our approach. After this, in Sec.3.2, we present our result for our ordinary-derivative gauge invariant Lagrangian and realization of gauge symmetries in our approach. In Sec.4, we discuss realization of conformal algebra symmetries on the space of gauge fields entering our approach. In Sec.5, we describe our results for total number of on-shell D.o.F for the arbitrary spin conformal fermionic field and decomposition of those on-shell D.o.F into irreps of the $so(d-2)$ algebra. Section 6 is devoted to the discussion of directions for future research.

---

[1] Higher-derivative Lagrangian of mixed-symmetry conformal fields was obtained in Ref.[4]. Discussion of equations and constraints for mixed symmetry conformal fields may be found in Ref.[5]. Extensive study of conservation laws for mixed-symmetry conformal fields may be found in Ref.[6] (see also Ref.[7]).

[2] To realize those additional gauge symmetries we adopt the approach in Refs.[10, 11] which turns out to be most useful for our purposes.

2 Notation

Our conventions are as follows. x^a denotes coordinates in d-dimensional flat space-time, while ∂_a denotes derivatives with respect to x^a, $\partial_a \equiv \partial/\partial x^a$. Vector indices of the Lorentz algebra $so(d-1,1)$ take the values $a,b,c,e = 0,1,\ldots,d-1$. We use mostly positive flat metric tensor η^{ab}. To simplify our expressions we drop η_{ab} in scalar products, i.e., we use $X^aY^a \equiv \eta_{ab}X^aY^b$.

A set of the creation operators α^a, ζ, $\upsilon^\oplus$, $\upsilon^\ominus$ and the respective set of annihilation operators $\bar\alpha^a$, $\bar\zeta$, $\bar\upsilon^\ominus$, $\bar\upsilon^\oplus$ will be referred to as oscillators in what follows.[3] Commutation relations and the vacuum are defined as

$$[\bar\alpha^a,\alpha^b] = \eta^{ab}\,, \qquad [\bar\zeta,\zeta] = 1\,, \qquad [\bar\upsilon^\oplus,\,\upsilon^\ominus] = 1\,, \qquad [\bar\upsilon^\ominus,\,\upsilon^\oplus] = 1\,, \tag{2.1}$$

$$\bar\alpha^a|0\rangle = 0\,, \qquad \bar\zeta|0\rangle = 0\,, \qquad \bar\upsilon^\oplus|0\rangle = 0\,, \qquad \bar\upsilon^\ominus|0\rangle = 0\,. \tag{2.2}$$

The oscillators α^a, $\bar\alpha^a$ and ζ, $\bar\zeta$, $\upsilon^\oplus$, $\upsilon^\ominus$, $\bar\upsilon^\oplus$, $\bar\upsilon^\ominus$ transform in the respective vector and scalar representations of the Lorentz algebra $so(d-1,1)$. We use $2^{[d/2]}\times 2^{[d/2]}$ Dirac gamma matrices γ^a in d-dimensions, $\{\gamma^a,\gamma^b\} = 2\eta^{ab}$, and adapt the following hermitian conjugation rules for the derivatives, oscillators, and γ-matrices:

$$\partial^{a\dagger} = -\partial^a, \qquad \gamma^{a\dagger} = \gamma^0\gamma^a\gamma^0\,, \qquad \alpha^{a\dagger} = \bar\alpha^a\,, \qquad \zeta^\dagger = \bar\zeta\,, \qquad \upsilon^{\oplus\dagger} = \bar\upsilon^\oplus\,, \qquad \upsilon^{\ominus\dagger} = \bar\upsilon^\ominus\,. \tag{2.3}$$

We use operators constructed out of the derivatives, oscillators, and γ-matrices,

$$\Box \equiv \partial^a\partial^a\,, \qquad \partial\!\!\!/ \equiv \gamma^a\partial^a\,, \qquad \alpha\partial \equiv \alpha^a\partial^a\,, \qquad \bar\alpha\partial \equiv \bar\alpha^a\partial^a\,, \tag{2.4}$$

$$\gamma\alpha \equiv \gamma^a\alpha^a\,, \qquad \gamma\bar\alpha \equiv \gamma^a\bar\alpha^a\,, \qquad \alpha^2 \equiv \alpha^a\alpha^a\,, \qquad \bar\alpha^2 \equiv \bar\alpha^a\bar\alpha^a\,, \tag{2.5}$$

$$N_\alpha \equiv \alpha^a\bar\alpha^a\,, \qquad N_\zeta \equiv \zeta\bar\zeta\,, \qquad N_{\upsilon^\oplus} \equiv \upsilon^\oplus\bar\upsilon^\ominus\,, \qquad N_{\upsilon^\ominus} \equiv \upsilon^\ominus\bar\upsilon^\oplus\,, \tag{2.6}$$

$$N_\upsilon \equiv N_{\upsilon^\oplus} + N_{\upsilon^\ominus}\,, \qquad \Delta' \equiv N_{\upsilon^\oplus} - N_{\upsilon^\ominus}\,. \tag{2.7}$$

$$\mathcal{A}^a \equiv \alpha^a - \gamma\alpha\gamma^a\frac{1}{2N_\alpha+d-2} - \alpha^2\frac{1}{2N_\alpha+d}\bar\alpha^a\,, \tag{2.8}$$

$$\mathcal{G}^a \equiv \gamma^a - \gamma\alpha\frac{2}{2N_\alpha+d-2}\bar\alpha^a\,, \tag{2.9}$$

$$\mathcal{A}_1^a \equiv \alpha^a - \gamma\alpha\gamma^a\frac{1}{2N_\alpha+d} - \alpha^2\frac{1}{2N_\alpha+d+2}\bar\alpha^a\,, \tag{2.10}$$

---

[3] As in Ref.[12], we use oscillators to handle many indices appearing for tensor-spinor fields. The oscillator algebra can also be reformulated as an algebra acting on the symmetric-spinor bundle on the manifold M [13]. The oscillators ζ, $\bar\zeta$ appearing in gauge invariant formulation of massive fields arise naturally by a dimensional reduction from flat space. We expect that 'conformal' oscillators $\upsilon^\oplus$, $\upsilon^\ominus$, $\bar\upsilon^\oplus$, $\bar\upsilon^\ominus$ also allow certain interpretation via dimensional reduction. Extensive discussion of oscillator formulation may be found in Ref.[14].

$$\bar{\mathcal{A}}_1^a \equiv \alpha^a - \frac{1}{2N_\alpha + d}\gamma^a\gamma\bar{\alpha} - \alpha^a\frac{1}{2N_\alpha + d + 2}\bar{\alpha}^2\,, \qquad (2.11)$$

$$\mathcal{G}_1^a \equiv \gamma^a - \gamma\alpha\frac{2}{2N_\alpha + d}\bar{\alpha}^a\,, \qquad (2.12)$$

$$\bar{\mathcal{G}}_1^a \equiv \gamma^a - \alpha^a\frac{2}{2N_\alpha + d}\gamma\bar{\alpha}\,, \qquad (2.13)$$

$$\Pi^{[1,3]} = 1 - \gamma\alpha\frac{1}{2N_\alpha + d}\gamma\bar{\alpha} - \alpha^2\frac{1}{2(2N_\alpha + d + 2)}\bar{\alpha}^2\,, \qquad (2.14)$$

$$\Pi^{[1,2]} = 1 - \alpha^2\frac{1}{2(2N_\alpha + d)}\bar{\alpha}^2\,. \qquad (2.15)$$

The 2×2 matrices $\sigma_\pm$, σ_3, $\pi_\pm$, and antisymmetric products of γ-matrices are defined as

$$\sigma_+ = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array}\right), \quad \sigma_- = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{array}\right), \quad \sigma_3 = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{array}\right), \quad \pi_\pm = \frac{1}{2}(1 \pm \sigma_3)\,, \qquad (2.16)$$

$$\gamma^{ab} = \frac{1}{2}(\gamma^a\gamma^b - \gamma^b\gamma^a)\,, \qquad \gamma^{abc} = \frac{1}{3!}(\gamma^a\gamma^b\gamma^c \pm 5 \text{ terms})\,. \qquad (2.17)$$

Throughout the paper the notation $k' \in [n]_2$ implies that $k' = -n, -n+2, -n+4, \ldots, n-4, n-2, n$:

$$k' \in [n]_2 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad k' = -n, -n+2, -n+4, \ldots, n-4, n-2, n\,. \qquad (2.18)$$

Sometimes we use conjugation rule denoted as $\widehat{\dagger}$. This is to say that given operator A an operator $A^{\widehat{\dagger}}$ is defined as

$$A^{\widehat{\dagger}} \equiv -\gamma^0 A^\dagger \gamma^0\,, \qquad (2.19)$$

while $A^\dagger$ stands for the standard hermitian conjugated of the operator A.

3 Ordinary-derivative gauge invariant Lagrangian

3.1 Field content

To discuss ordinary-derivative and gauge invariant formulation of spin-$(s+\frac{1}{2})$ conformal non-chiral Dirac fermionic field in flat space of dimension $d \geq 4$ we use the following non-chiral spinor, vector-spinor, and tensor-spinor Dirac fields of the Lorentz algebra $so(d-1,1)$:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \psi_{k'}^{a_1\ldots a_{s'}}\,, & s' = 0,1,\ldots,s\,, & k' \in [k_{s'}]_2; \\ \psi_{k'}^{a_1\ldots a_{s'}}\,, & s' = \left\{\begin{array}{ll} 0,1,\ldots,s; & \text{for } d \geq 6; \\ 1,2,\ldots,s; & \text{for } d = 4; \end{array}\right. & k' \in [k_{s'}-1]_2\,; \end{array} \qquad (3.1)$$

$$k_{s'} \equiv s' + \frac{d-4}{2}\,, \qquad (3.2)$$

where the spinor indices of the fermionic fields $\psi_{k'}^{a_1\ldots a_{s'}}$ are implicit and for some notation see (2.18). The fields $\psi_{k'}^{a_1\ldots a_{s'}}$ are the non-chiral spinor, vector-spinor, and tensor-spinor fermionic fields of the Lorentz algebra $so(d-1,1)$. Chiral spinor fermionic fields are discussed below.

Alternatively, for $d\geq 6$, field content (3.1) can be represented as

$$\psi_{k'}^{a_1\ldots a_s}\,, \qquad k'\in[k_s]_2\,; \tag{3.3}$$

$$\psi_{k'}^{a_1\ldots a_s}\,, \qquad k'\in[k_s-1]_2\,; \tag{3.4}$$

$$\psi_{k'}^{a_1\ldots a_{s-1}}\,, \qquad k'\in[k_{s-1}]_2\,; \tag{3.5}$$

$$\psi_{k'}^{a_1\ldots a_{s-1}}\,, \qquad k'\in[k_{s-1}-1]_2\,; \tag{3.6}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \ldots\ldots & \ldots\ldots\ldots \\ \ldots\ldots & \ldots\ldots\ldots \end{array}$$

$$\psi_{k'}^{a}\,, \qquad k'\in[k_1]_2\,; \tag{3.7}$$

$$\psi_{k'}^{a}\,, \qquad k'\in[k_1-1]_2\,; \tag{3.8}$$

$$\psi_{k'}\,, \qquad k'\in[k_0]_2\,; \tag{3.9}$$

$$\psi_{k'}\,, \qquad k'\in[k_0-1]_2\,; \tag{3.10}$$

while, for $d=4$, field content (3.1) is given in (3.3)-(3.9). This is to say that fields in (3.10) enter field content only for $d\geq 6$.

We note that

i) In (3.1), the fields $\psi_{k'}$ and $\psi_{k'}^a$ are the respective non-chiral spinor and vector-spinor fields of the Lorentz algebra, while the field $\psi_{k'}^{a_1\ldots a_{s'}}$, $s'>1$, is rank-s' totally symmetric non-chiral tensor-spinor field of the Lorentz algebra $so(d-1,1)$.

ii) The tensor-spinor fields $\psi_{k'}^{a_1\ldots a_{s'}}$ with $s'\geq 3$ satisfy the γ triple-tracelessness constraint,

$$\gamma^a\psi_{k'}^{abba_4\ldots a_{s'}}=0\,,\qquad s'\geq 3\,. \tag{3.11}$$

iii) The conformal dimension of the field $\psi_{k'}^{a_1\ldots a_{s'}}$ is given by

$$\Delta(\psi_{k'}^{a_1\ldots a_{s'}})=\frac{d-1}{2}+k'\,. \tag{3.12}$$

To illustrate the field content given in (3.1) we use the shortcut $\psi_{k'}^{s'}$ for the field $\psi_{k'}^{a_1\ldots a_{s'}}$ and note that, for $d\geq 6$ and arbitrary s, fields in (3.1) can be presented as in Table I.

TABLE I. Field content for $d \geq 6$, $s-$ arbitrary. The notation $\psi^{s'}_{k'}$ stands for $\psi^{a_1 \dots a_{s'}}_{k'}$.

$\psi^{s}_{-k_s}$		$\psi^{s}_{2-k_s}$			$\dots$		$\dots$			$\dots$				$\dots$		$\dots$		$\psi^{s}_{k_s-2}$		$\psi^{s}_{k_s}$
	$\psi^{s}_{1-k_s}$			$\psi^{s}_{3-k_s}$		$\dots$		$\dots$		$\dots$		$\dots$		$\dots$		$\psi^{s}_{k_s-3}$			$\psi^{s}_{k_s-1}$	
	$\psi^{s-1}_{1-k_s}$			$\psi^{s-1}_{3-k_s}$		$\dots$		$\dots$		$\dots$			$\dots$				$\psi^{s-1}_{k_s-3}$		$\psi^{s-1}_{k_s-1}$	
		$\psi^{s-1}_{2-k_s}$			$\dots$		$\dots$		$\dots$		$\dots$		$\dots$		$\dots$			$\psi^{s-1}_{k_s-2}$		
				$\dots$		$\dots$		$\dots$		$\dots$		$\dots$		$\dots$		$\dots$				
				$\psi^{1}_{-k_1+1}$			$\psi^{1}_{-k_1+3}$		$\dots$	$\dots$		$\psi^{1}_{k_1-3}$		$\psi^{1}_{k_1-1}$						
				$\psi^{0}_{-k_0}$			$\psi^{0}_{-k_0+2}$			$\dots$		$\psi^{0}_{k_0-2}$		$\psi^{0}_{k_0}$						
						$\psi^{0}_{-k_0+1}$			$\dots$		$\dots$		$\psi^{0}_{k_0-1}$							

As we have said, the spinor fields $\psi^0_{k'}$ having $k' \in [k_0 - 1]_2$ do not enter the field content when $d = 4$. This is to say that, for $d = 4$ and arbitrary s, the field content in (3.1) can be represented as in Table II.

TABLE II. Field content for $d = 4$, $s-$ arbitrary. The notation $\psi^{s'}_{k'}$ stands for $\psi^{a_1 \dots a_{s'}}_{k'}$.

ψ^{s}_{-s}		ψ^{s}_{-s+2}				$\dots$				ψ^{s}_{s-2}		ψ^{s}_{s}
	ψ^{s}_{-s+1}		ψ^{s}_{-s+3}			$\dots$			ψ^{s}_{s-3}		ψ^{s}_{s-1}	
	ψ^{s-1}_{-s+1}		ψ^{s-1}_{-s+3}			$\dots$			ψ^{s-1}_{s-3}		ψ^{s-1}_{s-1}	
		ψ^{s-1}_{-s+2}		$\dots$		$\dots$		$\dots$		ψ^{s-1}_{s-2}		
			$\dots$	$\dots$		$\dots$		$\dots$	$\dots$			
				$\dots$		$\dots$		$\dots$				
				ψ^{2}_{-2}		ψ^{2}_{0}		ψ^{2}_{2}				
					ψ^{2}_{-1}		ψ^{2}_{1}					
					ψ^{1}_{-1}		ψ^{1}_{1}					
						ψ^{1}_{0}						
						ψ^{0}_{0}						

We note that $d=6$ is the lowest space-time dimension when the spinor fields $\psi^0_{k'}$ having $k'\in[k_0-1]_2$ appear in the field content. Namely, for $d=6$ and arbitrary s, the field content in (3.1) can be represented as in Table III.

TABLE III. Field content for $d=6\,,\ \ s-$ arbitrary. The notation $\psi^{s'}_{k'}$ stands for $\psi^{a_1\ldots a_{s'}}_{k'}$.

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccccccccc}
\psi^s_{-s-1} & & \psi^s_{-s+1} & & & & \ldots & & & & \psi^s_{s-1} & & \psi^s_{s+1} \\
& \psi^s_{-s} & & \psi^s_{-s+2} & & & \ldots & & & \psi^s_{s-2} & & \psi^s_{s} & \\
& \psi^{s-1}_{-s} & & \psi^{s-1}_{-s+2} & & & \ldots & & & \psi^{s-1}_{s-2} & & \psi^{s-1}_{s} & \\
& & \psi^{s-1}_{-s+1} & & & & \ldots & & & & \psi^{s-1}_{s-1} & & \\
& & \ldots & & & & \ldots & & & & \ldots & & \\
& & & \ldots & & & \ldots & & & \ldots & & & \\
& & & & \ldots & & \ldots & & \ldots & & & & \\
& & & & \psi^1_{-2} & & \psi^1_0 & & \psi^1_2 & & & & \\
& & & & & \psi^1_{-1} & & \psi^1_1 & & & & & \\
& & & & & \psi^0_{-1} & & \psi^0_1 & & & & & \\
& & & & & & \psi^0_0 & & & & & &
\end{array}
$$

To illustrate further the field content entering our approach we note that for the case of spin-$\frac{3}{2}$ in $6d$ space the field content in (3.1) is given by

$$
\begin{array}{c}
\text{Field content for spin-}\tfrac{3}{2}\text{ in }6d \\[1em]
\begin{array}{ccccc}
\psi^a_{-2} & & \psi^a_0 & & \psi^a_2 \\
& \psi^a_{-1} & & \psi^a_1 & \\
& \psi_{-1} & & \psi_1 & \\
& & \psi_0 & &
\end{array}
\end{array}
\tag{3.13}
$$

while for the case of spin-$\frac{3}{2}$ in $4d$ space the field content in (3.1) is given by

$$
\begin{array}{c}
\text{Field content for spin-}\tfrac{3}{2}\text{ in }4d \\[1em]
\begin{array}{ccc}
\psi^a_{-1} & & \psi^a_1 \\
& \psi^a_0 & \\
& \psi_0 &
\end{array}
\end{array}
\tag{3.14}
$$

For the case of spin-$\frac{5}{2}$ in $6d$ space the field content in (3.1) is given by

Field content for spin-$\frac{5}{2}$ in $6d$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\psi_{-3}^{ab} \qquad \psi_{-1}^{ab} \qquad \psi_{1}^{ab} \qquad \psi_{3}^{ab} \\[6pt]
\psi_{-2}^{ab} \qquad \psi_{0}^{ab} \qquad \psi_{2}^{ab} \\[6pt]
\psi_{-2}^{a} \qquad \psi_{0}^{a} \qquad \psi_{2}^{a} \\[6pt]
\psi_{-1}^{a} \qquad \psi_{1}^{a} \\[6pt]
\psi_{-1} \qquad \psi_{1} \\[6pt]
\psi_{0}
\end{array} \tag{3.15}$$

while for the case of spin-$\frac{5}{2}$ in $4d$ space the field content in (3.1) is given by

Field content for spin-$\frac{5}{2}$ in $4d$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\psi_{-2}^{ab} \qquad \psi_{0}^{ab} \qquad \psi_{2}^{ab} \\[6pt]
\psi_{-1}^{ab} \qquad \psi_{1}^{ab} \\[6pt]
\psi_{-1}^{a} \qquad \psi_{1}^{a} \\[6pt]
\psi_{0}^{a} \\[6pt]
\psi_{0}
\end{array} \tag{3.16}$$

In order to streamline the presentation of ordinary-derivative formulation we use the oscillators α^a, ζ, $\upsilon^\oplus$, $\upsilon^\ominus$, and collect fields (3.1) into the ket-vector $|\psi\rangle$ defined by

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{s'=0}^{s} \frac{\zeta^{s-s'}}{\sqrt{(s-s')!}} |\psi^{s'}\rangle \,, \tag{3.17}$$

$$|\psi^{s'}\rangle = \left(\begin{array}{c} |\psi_{\rm u}^{s'}\rangle \\ |\psi_{\rm d}^{s'}\rangle \end{array} \right) , \tag{3.18}$$

$$|\psi_{\rm u}^{s'}\rangle \equiv \sum_{k' \in [k_{s'}]_2} \frac{(\upsilon^\oplus)^{\frac{k_{s'}+k'}{2}} (\upsilon^\ominus)^{\frac{k_{s'}-k'}{2}}}{s'!(\frac{k_{s'}+k'}{2})!} \alpha^{a_1} \ldots \alpha^{a_{s'}} \psi_{k'}^{a_1 \ldots a_{s'}} |0\rangle \,, \tag{3.19}$$

$$|\psi_{\rm d}^{s'}\rangle \equiv \sum_{k' \in [k_{s'}-1]_2} \frac{(\upsilon^\oplus)^{\frac{k_{s'}-1+k'}{2}} (\upsilon^\ominus)^{\frac{k_{s'}-1-k'}{2}}}{s'!(\frac{k_{s'}-1+k'}{2})!} \alpha^{a_1} \ldots \alpha^{a_{s'}} \psi_{k'}^{a_1 \ldots a_{s'}} |0\rangle \,, \tag{3.20}$$

$$\psi_{k'} \equiv 0 \,, \qquad k' \in [k_0 - 1]_2 \,, \qquad \hbox{for } \ d = 4 \,, \tag{3.21}$$

where $k_{s'}$ is given in (3.2). For $d = 4$, we use relation (3.21) in (3.20) to respect the fact that fields appearing in (3.10) do not enter the field content when $d = 4$. It is easy to see that ket-vector (3.17) satisfies the following algebraic constraints:

$$(N_\alpha + N_\zeta - s)|\psi\rangle = 0\,, \qquad (3.22)$$

$$(N_\zeta + N_\upsilon - k_s)\pi_+|\psi\rangle = 0\,, \qquad (3.23)$$

$$(N_\zeta + N_\upsilon - k_s + 1)\pi_-|\psi\rangle = 0\,. \qquad (3.24)$$

Algebraic constraints (3.22) tell us that ket-vector $|\psi\rangle$ (3.18) is degree-s homogeneous polynomial in the oscillators α^a, ζ. Introducing the notation

$$|\psi_{\rm u}\rangle = \sum_{s'=0}^{s} \frac{\zeta^{s-s'}}{\sqrt{(s-s')!}}|\psi_{\rm u}^{s'}\rangle\,, \qquad |\psi_{\rm d}\rangle = \sum_{s'=0}^{s} \frac{\zeta^{s-s'}}{\sqrt{(s-s')!}}|\psi_{\rm d}^{s'}\rangle\,, \qquad (3.25)$$

we note that algebraic constraint (3.23) tells that ket-vector $|\psi_{\rm u}\rangle$ (3.25) is degree-k_s homogeneous polynomial in the oscillators ζ, $\upsilon^\oplus$, $\upsilon^\ominus$, while, from algebraic constraint (3.24), we learn that ket-vector $|\psi_{\rm d}\rangle$ (3.25) is degree-(k_s-1) homogeneous polynomial in the oscillators ζ, $\upsilon^\oplus$, $\upsilon^\ominus$. In terms of the ket-vector $|\psi\rangle$, the γ triple-tracelessness constraint (3.11) takes the form[4]

$$\gamma\bar\alpha\bar\alpha^2|\psi\rangle = 0\,. \qquad (3.26)$$

3.2 Lagrangian and gauge symmetries

We are now ready to discuss gauge invariant Lagrangian. Lagrangian of conformal spin-$(s+\frac{1}{2})$ fermionic field we found takes the form

$${\rm i}\mathcal{L} = \langle\psi|E|\psi\rangle\,, \qquad (3.27)$$

$$E \equiv E_{(1)} + E_{(0)}\,, \qquad (3.28)$$

$$E_{(1)} \equiv \partial\!\!\!/ - \alpha\partial\gamma\bar\alpha - \gamma\alpha\bar\alpha\partial + \gamma\alpha\,\partial\!\!\!/\,\gamma\bar\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\gamma\alpha\alpha\partial\bar\alpha^2 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha^2\gamma\bar\alpha\bar\alpha\partial - \frac{1}{4}\alpha^2\,\partial\!\!\!/\,\bar\alpha^2\,, \qquad (3.29)$$

$$E_{(0)} = (1 - \gamma\alpha\gamma\bar\alpha - \frac{1}{4}\alpha^2\bar\alpha^2)e_1^\Gamma + (\gamma\alpha - \frac{1}{2}\alpha^2\gamma\bar\alpha)\bar e_1 + (\gamma\bar\alpha - \frac{1}{2}\gamma\alpha\bar\alpha^2)e_1\,, \qquad (3.30)$$

$$e_1^\Gamma = \widetilde{e}_1^\Gamma(\upsilon^\ominus\sigma_+ + \bar\upsilon^\ominus\sigma_-)\,, \qquad (3.31)$$

$$e_1 = \zeta\bar\upsilon^\ominus\widetilde{e}_1\,, \qquad \bar e_1 = -\widetilde{e}_1\upsilon^\ominus\bar\zeta\,, \qquad (3.32)$$

$$\widetilde{e}_1^\Gamma \equiv \frac{2s+d-2}{2s+d-2-2N_\zeta}\,, \qquad (3.33)$$

$$\widetilde{e}_1 \equiv \Big(\frac{2s+d-3-N_\zeta}{2s+d-4-2N_\zeta}\Big)^{1/2}\,. \qquad (3.34)$$

We note that $E_{(1)}$ (3.29) is the standard first-order Fang-Fronsdal operator represented in terms of the oscillators. The bra-vector $\langle\psi|$ is defined according the rule $\langle\psi| \equiv (|\psi\rangle)^\dagger\gamma^0$.

---

[4] We adapt the formulation in terms of the γ triple-traceless gauge fermionic fields in Ref.[15]. To develop the gauge invariant approach one can use unconstrained gauge fields studied in Ref.[16] (see also Ref.[17]).

We now discuss gauge symmetries of Lagrangian (3.27). To this end we introduce the following set of gauge transformation parameters:

$$\xi_{k'-1}^{a_1\ldots a_{s'}}\,, \qquad s'=0,1,\ldots,s-1\,, \qquad k'\in[k_{s'}+1]_2; \tag{3.35}$$

$$\xi_{k'-1}^{a_1\ldots a_{s'}}\,, \qquad s'=0,1,\ldots,s-1\,, \qquad k'\in[k_{s'}]_2; \tag{3.36}$$

where the spinor indices of the fields $\xi_{k'-1}^{a_1\ldots a_{s'}}$ are implicit. The gauge transformation parameters $\xi_{k'-1}^{a_1\ldots a_{s'}}$ are non-chiral, spinor, vector-spinor, and tensor-spinor fields of the Lorentz algebra $so(d-1,1)$.

Alternatively, gauge transformation parameters (3.35), (3.36) can be represented as

$$\xi_{k'-1}^{a_1\ldots a_{s-1}}\,, \qquad k'\in[k_s]_2\,; \tag{3.37}$$

$$\xi_{k'-1}^{a_1\ldots a_{s-1}}\,, \qquad k'\in[k_s-1]_2\,; \tag{3.38}$$

$$\xi_{k'-1}^{a_1\ldots a_{s-2}}\,, \qquad k'\in[k_{s-1}]_2\,; \tag{3.39}$$

$$\xi_{k'-1}^{a_1\ldots a_{s-2}}\,, \qquad k'\in[k_{s-1}-1]_2\,; \tag{3.40}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \ldots\ldots & \ldots\ldots\ldots \\ \ldots\ldots & \ldots\ldots\ldots \end{array}$$

$$\xi_{k'-1}^{a}\,, \qquad k'\in[k_2]_2\,; \tag{3.41}$$

$$\xi_{k'-1}^{a}\,, \qquad k'\in[k_2-1]_2\,; \tag{3.42}$$

$$\xi_{k'-1}\,, \qquad k'\in[k_1]_2\,; \tag{3.43}$$

$$\xi_{k'-1}\,, \qquad k'\in[k_1-1]_2\,; \tag{3.44}$$

We note that

i) In (3.35),(3.36) the fields $\xi_{k'-1}$ and $\xi_{k'-1}^{a}$ are the respective non-chiral spinor and vector-spinor fields of the Lorentz algebra, while the field $\xi_{k'-1}^{a_1\ldots a_{s'}}$, $s'>1$, is rank-s' totally symmetric non-chiral tensor-spinor field of the Lorentz algebra $so(d-1,1)$.

ii) The vector-spinor fields $\xi_{k'-1}^{a}$ and tensor-spinor fields $\xi_{k'-1}^{a_1\ldots a_{s'}}$ with $s'\geq 2$ satisfy the γ-tracelessness constraint

$$\gamma^a\xi_{k'-1}^{aa_2\ldots a_{s'}}=0\,, \qquad s'\geq 1\,. \tag{3.45}$$

iii) The conformal dimension of the gauge transformation parameter $\xi_{k'-1}^{a_1\ldots a_{s'}}$ is given by

$$\Delta(\xi_{k'-1}^{a_1\ldots a_{s'}})=\frac{d-1}{2}+k'-1\,. \tag{3.46}$$

Now, as usually, we collect the gauge transformation parameters into ket-vector $|\xi\rangle$ defined by

$$|\xi\rangle=\sum_{s'=0}^{s-1}\frac{\zeta^{s-1-s'}}{\sqrt{(s-1-s')!}}|\xi^{s'}\rangle\,, \tag{3.47}$$

$$|\xi^{s'}\rangle=\left(\begin{array}{c}|\xi_{\rm u}^{s'}\rangle\\ |\xi_{\rm d}^{s'}\rangle\end{array}\right), \tag{3.48}$$

$$|\xi_{\rm u}^{s'}\rangle \equiv \sum_{k'\in[k_{s'}+1]_2} \frac{(\upsilon^\oplus)^{\frac{k_{s'}+1+k'}{2}}(\upsilon^\ominus)^{\frac{k_{s'}+1-k'}{2}}}{s'!(\frac{k_{s'}+1+k'}{2})!}\,\alpha^{a_1}\ldots\alpha^{a_{s'}}\xi_{k'-1}^{a_1\ldots a_{s'}}|0\rangle\,, \tag{3.49}$$

$$|\xi_{\rm d}^{s'}\rangle \equiv \sum_{k'\in[k_{s'}]_2} \frac{(\upsilon^\oplus)^{\frac{k_{s'}+k'}{2}}(\upsilon^\ominus)^{\frac{k_{s'}-k'}{2}}}{s'!(\frac{k_{s'}+k'}{2})!}\,\alpha^{a_1}\ldots\alpha^{a_{s'}}\xi_{k'-1}^{a_1\ldots a_{s'}}|0\rangle\,. \tag{3.50}$$

The ket-vector $|\xi\rangle$ (3.47) satisfies the following algebraic constraints,

$$(N_\alpha + N_\zeta - s + 1)|\xi\rangle = 0\,, \tag{3.51}$$

$$(N_\zeta + N_\upsilon - k_s)\pi_+|\xi\rangle = 0\,, \tag{3.52}$$

$$(N_\zeta + N_\upsilon - k_s + 1)\pi_-|\xi\rangle = 0\,, \tag{3.53}$$

where $k_{s'}$ is given in (3.2). Algebraic constraints (3.51) tell us that ket-vector $|\xi\rangle$ (3.47) is degree-$(s-1)$ homogeneous polynomial in the oscillators α^a, ζ. Introducing the notation

$$|\xi_{\rm u}\rangle = \sum_{s'=0}^{s-1}\frac{\zeta^{s-1-s'}}{\sqrt{(s-1-s')!}}|\xi_{\rm u}^{s'}\rangle\,, \qquad |\xi_{\rm d}\rangle = \sum_{s'=0}^{s-1}\frac{\zeta^{s-1-s'}}{\sqrt{(s-1-s')!}}|\xi_{\rm d}^{s'}\rangle\,, \tag{3.54}$$

we note that algebraic constraint (3.52) implies that ket-vector $|\xi_{\rm u}\rangle$ (3.54) is degree-k_s homogeneous polynomial in the oscillators ζ, $\upsilon^\oplus$, $\upsilon^\ominus$, while, from algebraic constraint (3.53), we learn that ket-vector $|\xi_{\rm d}\rangle$ (3.54) is degree-(k_s-1) homogeneous polynomial in the oscillators ζ, $\upsilon^\oplus$, $\upsilon^\ominus$. In terms of the ket-vector $|\xi\rangle$, γ-tracelessness constraint (3.45) takes the form

$$\gamma\bar\alpha|\xi\rangle = 0\,. \tag{3.55}$$

Gauge transformations can entirely be written in terms of $|\psi\rangle$ and $|\xi\rangle$. This is to say that gauge transformations take the form

$$\delta|\psi\rangle = G|\xi\rangle\,, \tag{3.56}$$

$$G \equiv \alpha\partial - e_1 + \gamma\alpha\frac{1}{2N_\alpha+d-2}e_1^\Gamma - \alpha^2\frac{1}{2N_\alpha+d}\bar e_1\,, \tag{3.57}$$

where operators e_1^Γ, e_1, $\bar e_1$ are defined in (3.31)-(3.34).

Chiral conformal fermionic fields. In the above discussion, we considered conformal *non-chiral* Dirac fermionic fields (3.17). Extension of our discussion to the case of conformal *chiral* fermionic fields is straightforward. To this end we introduce matrix Γ_* defined as

$$\Gamma_* \equiv \gamma_*\sigma_3\,, \qquad \Gamma_*^2 = 1\,, \qquad \Gamma_*^\dagger = \Gamma_*\,, \tag{3.58}$$

$$\gamma_* \equiv \epsilon\gamma^0\gamma^1\ldots\gamma^{d-1}\,, \qquad \gamma_*^2 = 1\,, \qquad \gamma_*^\dagger = \gamma_*\,, \tag{3.59}$$

where σ_3 is defined in (2.16). Now we introduce chiral ket-vectors $|\psi_\pm\rangle$ defined as

$$|\psi_\pm\rangle = \Pi_\pm|\psi\rangle\,, \qquad \Pi_\pm \equiv \frac{1}{2}(1\pm\Gamma_*)\,, \tag{3.60}$$

It is easy to see that the matrix Γ_* is anti-commuting with operator E (3.28) which enter our Lagrangian (3.27),

$$\{\Gamma_*, E\} = 0\,. \tag{3.61}$$

Using (3.61), and taking into account the relations $\{\Gamma_*, \gamma^0\} = 0$, $\Pi_\pm^\dagger = \Pi_\pm$, we see that our Lagrangian (3.27) is decomposed as

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_+ + \mathcal{L}_- \qquad {\rm i}\mathcal{L}_\pm = \langle\psi_\pm|E|\psi_\pm\rangle\,. \tag{3.62}$$

The Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_+$ describes positive chirality conformal fermionic field $|\psi_+\rangle$, while the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_-$ describes negative chirality conformal fermionic field $|\psi_-\rangle$. Note also that the projectors $\Pi_\pm$ are commuting with operator G (3.57),

$$[\Pi_\pm, G] = 0\,. \tag{3.63}$$

Taking this into account we see that the Lagrangians $\mathcal{L}_+$ and $\mathcal{L}_-$ are invariant under the respective gauge transformations

$$\delta|\psi_+\rangle = G|\xi_+\rangle\,, \qquad \delta|\psi_-\rangle = G|\xi_-\rangle\,, \qquad |\xi_\pm\rangle \equiv \Pi_\pm|\xi\rangle\,. \tag{3.64}$$

4 Reailzation of conformal symmetries

To complete the ordinary-derivative formulation of spin-$(s+\frac{1}{2})$ conformal fermionic field we provide realization of the conformal algebra symmetries on the space of ket-vector $|\psi\rangle$ (3.17).

The conformal algebra $so(d,2)$ of d-dimensional space-time taken to be in basis of the Lorentz algebra $so(d-1,1)$ consists of translation generators P^a, dilatation generator D, conformal boost generators K^a, and generators J^{ab} which span $so(d-1,1)$ Lorentz algebra. We assume the following normalization for commutators of the conformal algebra:[5]

$$[D, P^a] = -P^a\,, \qquad [P^a, J^{bc}] = \eta^{ab}P^c - \eta^{ac}P^b\,, \tag{4.1}$$

$$[D, K^a] = K^a\,, \qquad [K^a, J^{bc}] = \eta^{ab}K^c - \eta^{ac}K^b\,, \tag{4.2}$$

$$[P^a, K^b] = \eta^{ab}D - J^{ab}\,, \tag{4.3}$$

$$[J^{ab}, J^{ce}] = \eta^{bc}J^{ae} + 3\text{ terms}\,. \tag{4.4}$$

Let $|\psi\rangle$ denotes fermionic field propagating in flat space-time of dimension $d \geq 4$. Let Lagrangian for the free field $|\psi\rangle$ be conformal invariant. This implies, that Lagrangian is invariant under transformation (invariance of the Lagrangian is assumed to be up to total derivatives)

$$\delta_{\hat{G}}|\psi\rangle = \hat{G}|\psi\rangle\,, \tag{4.5}$$

where realization of the conformal algebra generators $\hat{G}$ in terms of differential operators takes the form

$$P^a = \partial^a\,, \tag{4.6}$$

---

[5] Note that in our approach, only $so(d-1,1)$ symmetries are realized manifestly. The $so(d,2)$ symmetries of conformal fields could be realized manifestly by using ambient space approach (see, e.g., Refs.[18]-[21].)

$$J^{ab} = x^a\partial^b - x^b\partial^a + M^{ab}\,, \tag{4.7}$$

$$D = x\partial + \Delta\,, \tag{4.8}$$

$$K^a = K^a_{\Delta,M} + R^a\,, \tag{4.9}$$

$$K^a_{\Delta,M} \equiv -\frac{1}{2}x^2\partial^a + x^a D + M^{ab}x^b\,. \tag{4.10}$$

In (4.7)-(4.10), Δ is operator of conformal dimension, M^{ab} is spin operator of the Lorentz algebra,

$$[M^{ab}, M^{ce}] = \eta^{bc}M^{ae} + 3 \text{ terms}\,, \tag{4.11}$$

and R^a is operator depending on derivatives with respect to space-time coordinates and not depending on space-time coordinates x^a, $[P^a, R^b] = 0$.[6] The spin operator of the Lorentz algebra is well known for arbitrary spin conformal fermionic field. In higher-derivative formulations of conformal fields, the operator R^a is often equal to zero, while, in the ordinary-derivative approach, the operator R^a is non-trivial. This implies that complete description of conformal fields in the ordinary-derivative approach requires finding not only gauge invariant Lagrangian but also the operator R^a as well.

From above discussion, it is clear all that is required to complete our ordinary-derivative description is to find the operators M^{ab}, Δ, and R^a for the case of spin-$(s+\frac{1}{2})$ conformal fermionic field and then use these operators in (4.7)-(4.10). For the case of arbitrary spin-$(s+\frac{1}{2})$ conformal fermionic field, the realization of the Lorentz algebra spin operator M^{ab} and the conformal dimension operator Δ on the space of $|\psi\rangle$ is given by

$$M^{ab} = \alpha^a\bar{\alpha}^b - \alpha^b\bar{\alpha}^a + \frac{1}{2}\gamma^{ab}\,, \tag{4.12}$$

$$\Delta = \frac{d-1}{2} + \Delta'\,, \qquad \Delta' \equiv N_{\upsilon^\oplus} - N_{\upsilon^\ominus}\,. \tag{4.13}$$

Note that realization of the conformal dimension operator Δ (4.13) can be read from (3.12). Realization of the operator R^a on the space of $|\psi\rangle$ is given by

$$R^a = r^a_{(0)} + r^a_{(1)} + R^a_{G} + R^a_{E}\,, \tag{4.14}$$

$$r^a_{(0)} = r^\Gamma_{0,1}\mathcal{G}^a + r_{0,1}\bar{\alpha}^a + \bar{r}_{0,1}\mathcal{A}^a\,, \qquad r^a_{(1)} = r_{1,1}\partial^a\,, \tag{4.15}$$

$$R^a_{G} = G r^a_{G}\,, \tag{4.16}$$

$$R^a_{E} = r^a_{E} E\,, \tag{4.17}$$

$$r^\Gamma_{0,1} = \widetilde{e}^\Gamma_1(-\upsilon^\oplus\sigma_+ + \bar{\upsilon}^\oplus\sigma_-)\,, \tag{4.18}$$

$$r_{0,1} = 2\zeta\widetilde{e}_1\bar{\upsilon}^\oplus\,, \qquad \bar{r}_{0,1} = -2\upsilon^\oplus\widetilde{e}_1\bar{\zeta}\,, \qquad r_{1,1} = -2\upsilon^\oplus\bar{\upsilon}^\oplus\,, \tag{4.19}$$

$$\begin{aligned} r^a_{G} \;=\;& r_{G,1}\mathcal{G}^a_1\Pi^{[1,3]} + r_{G,2}\mathcal{G}^a_1\Pi^{[1,3]}\gamma\bar{\alpha} + r_{G,3}\mathcal{G}^a_1\bar{\alpha}^2 \\ +\;& r_{G,4}\Pi^{[1,3]}\bar{\alpha}^a + r_{G,5}\Pi^{[1,3]}\gamma\bar{\alpha}\bar{\alpha}^a + r_{G,6}\bar{\alpha}^a\bar{\alpha}^2 \end{aligned}$$

---

[6] For the case of conformal currents and shadow fields studied in Refs.[22, 23, 24], the operator R^a does not dependent on the derivatives.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& + \quad r_{G,7}\mathcal{A}_1^a\Pi^{[1,3]} + r_{G,8}\mathcal{A}_1^a\Pi^{[1,3]}\gamma\bar{\alpha} + r_{G,9}\mathcal{A}_1^a\bar{\alpha}^2\,, && (4.20)\\
r_E^a \quad & = \quad r_{E,1}\gamma^a\Pi^{[1,2]} + r_{E,2}\gamma\alpha\gamma^a\gamma\bar{\alpha} + r_{E,3}\alpha^2(\mathcal{G}_1^a\Pi^{[1,3]} + \Pi^{[1,3]}\bar{\mathcal{G}}_1^a)\bar{\alpha}^2 \\
& + \quad \Big(r_{E,4}\gamma\alpha\mathcal{G}_1^a\Pi^{[1,3]} + r_{E,5}\alpha^2\mathcal{G}_1^a\Pi^{[1,3]}\gamma\bar{\alpha} + r_{E,6}\alpha^2\mathcal{G}_1^a\Pi^{[1,3]} \\
& + \quad r_{E,7}\mathcal{A}_1^a\Pi^{[1,3]}\gamma\bar{\alpha} + r_{E,8}\gamma\alpha\mathcal{A}_1^a\Pi^{[1,3]}\bar{\alpha}^2 + r_{E,9}\mathcal{A}^a \\
& + \quad r_{E,10}\gamma\alpha\mathcal{A}_1^a\Pi^{[1,3]}\gamma\bar{\alpha} + r_{E,11}\alpha^2\Pi^{[1,3]}\bar{\mathcal{A}}_1^a + r_{E,12}\alpha^2\mathcal{A}_1^a\Pi^{[1,3]}\bar{\alpha}^2 \\
& + \quad r_{E,13}\gamma\alpha\mathcal{A}_1^a\Pi^{[1,3]} + r_{E,14}\alpha^2\mathcal{A}_1^a\Pi^{[1,3]}\gamma\bar{\alpha} + r_{E,15}\alpha^2\mathcal{A}_1^a\Pi^{[1,3]} - \widehat{h.c.}\Big)\,, && (4.21)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{G,n} = \upsilon^{\oplus}\widetilde{r}_{G,n+}\bar{\upsilon}^{\oplus}\pi_+ + \upsilon^{\oplus}\widetilde{r}_{G,n-}\bar{\upsilon}^{\oplus}\pi_-\,, && n = 2,4,9\,; && (4.22)\\
& r_{G,n} = (\upsilon^{\oplus}\upsilon^{\oplus}\widetilde{r}_{G,n+}\sigma_+ + \upsilon^{\oplus}\widetilde{r}_{G,n-}\bar{\upsilon}^{\oplus}\sigma_-)\bar{\zeta}\,, && n = 1,8\,; && (4.23)\\
& r_{G,n} = \zeta(\upsilon^{\oplus}\widetilde{r}_{G,n+}\bar{\upsilon}^{\oplus}\sigma_+ + \widetilde{r}_{G,n-}\bar{\upsilon}^{\oplus}\bar{\upsilon}^{\oplus}\sigma_-)\,, && n = 3,5\,; && (4.24)\\
& r_{G,n} = (\upsilon^{\oplus}\upsilon^{\oplus}\widetilde{r}_{G,n+}\pi_+ + \upsilon^{\oplus}\upsilon^{\oplus}\widetilde{r}_{G,n-}\pi_-)\bar{\zeta}^2\,, && n = 7\,; && (4.25)\\
& r_{G,n} = \zeta^2(\widetilde{r}_{G,n+}\bar{\upsilon}^{\oplus}\bar{\upsilon}^{\oplus}\pi_+ + \widetilde{r}_{G,n-}\bar{\upsilon}^{\oplus}\bar{\upsilon}^{\oplus}\pi_-)\,, && n = 6\,; && (4.26)\\
& r_{E,n} = \upsilon^{\oplus}\widetilde{r}_{E,n+}\bar{\upsilon}^{\oplus}\pi_+ + \upsilon^{\oplus}\widetilde{r}_{E,n-}\bar{\upsilon}^{\oplus}\pi_-\,, && n = 1,2,3,7,8\,; && (4.27)\\
& r_{E,n} = (\upsilon^{\oplus}\upsilon^{\oplus}\widetilde{r}_{E,n+}\sigma_+ + \upsilon^{\oplus}\widetilde{r}_{E,n-}\bar{\upsilon}^{\oplus}\sigma_-)\bar{\zeta}\,, && n = 4,5,9,10,11,12\,; && (4.28)\\
& r_{E,n} = (\upsilon^{\oplus}\upsilon^{\oplus}\widetilde{r}_{E,n+}\pi_+ + \upsilon^{\oplus}\upsilon^{\oplus}\widetilde{r}_{E,n-}\pi_-)\bar{\zeta}^2\,, && n = 6,13,14\,; && (4.29)\\
& r_{E,n} = (\upsilon^{\oplus}\upsilon^{\oplus}\upsilon^{\oplus}\upsilon^{\ominus}\widetilde{r}_{E,n+}\sigma_+ + \upsilon^{\oplus}\upsilon^{\oplus}\widetilde{r}_{E,n-}\sigma_-)\bar{\zeta}^3\,, && n = 15\,; && (4.30)\\
& r_{E,n}^{\dagger} = r_{E,n},\ , \qquad \text{for }\ n = 1,2,3\,, && && (4.31)
\end{aligned}
$$

where operators G, E and $\widetilde{e}_1^{\Gamma}$, $\widetilde{e}_1$ appearing in (4.16), (4.17) and (4.18), (4.19) are defined in (3.57), (3.28) and (3.33), (3.34) respectively. In (4.22)-(4.31), the quantities $\widetilde{r}_{G,n\pm}$ and $\widetilde{r}_{E,n\pm}$ are arbitrary functions of the operators N_ζ, Δ'.

The following remarks are in order.

i) $r_{(0)}^a$ and $r_{(1)}^a$ parts (4.15) of the operator R^a are determined uniquely, while R_G^a, R_E^a parts, in view of arbitrary $\widetilde{r}_{G,n\pm}$, $\widetilde{r}_{E,n\pm}$ are still to be arbitrary. The reason for the arbitrariness in the operator R_G^a is obvious. Global transformations of gauge fields are defined up to gauge transformations. Since operator R_G^a (4.16) is proportional to the operator of gauge transformation G, the action of the operator R_G^a on $|\psi\rangle$ takes the form of gauge transformation governed by the gauge transformation parameter $r_G^a|\psi\rangle$. The reason for the arbitrariness in R_E^a is also obvious. As is well known, global transformations of fermionic fields are defined up to the contribution $\tau E|\psi\rangle$, where τ is an arbitrary operator satisfying the hermitian conjugation condition $\tau^\dagger = \gamma^0\tau\gamma^0$. It is easy to see that operator r_E^a given in (4.21) satisfies this condition.

ii) We check that the operator R^a with $R_G^a = 0$, $R_E^a = 0$ satisfies the commutator $[K^a, K^b] = 0$.

iii) If we consider the operator R^a with $R_G^a \neq 0$, $R_E^a \neq 0$, then we find that the commutator $[K^a, K^b]$ for such R^a takes the form

$$
[K^a, K^b] = W^{ab}\,, \tag{4.32}
$$

$$W^{ab} \equiv G r_{_G}^{ab} + r_{_E}^{ab} E + G r_{_{GE}}^{ab} E\,, \tag{4.33}$$

$$r_{_G}^{ab} \equiv r^a r_{_G}^b + r_{_G}^a r^b + r_{_G}^a G r_{_G}^b - (a \leftrightarrow b)\,, \tag{4.34}$$

$$r_{_E}^{ab} \equiv r^a r_{_E}^b + r_{_E}^b r^{a\hat{\dagger}} + r_{_E}^a E r_{_E}^b - (a \leftrightarrow b)\,, \qquad r^{a\hat{\dagger}} \equiv -\gamma^0 r^{a\dagger}\gamma^0\,, \tag{4.35}$$

$$r_{_{GE}}^{ab} \equiv r_{_G}^a r_{_E}^b - (a \leftrightarrow b)\,, \qquad r^a \equiv r_{_{(0)}}^a + r_{_{(1)}}^a\,. \tag{4.36}$$

From (4.32), we see that the commutator $[K^a, K^b]$ is proportional to the operator of gauge transformations G and to operator E as it should be in gauge theory of fermionic fields.
iv) It is easy to see that the operator R^a (4.14) is commuting with the projectors $\Pi_\pm$ (3.60) which enter positive and negative chirality conformal fields $|\psi_\pm\rangle$,

$$[\Pi_\pm, R^a] = 0\,. \tag{4.37}$$

Using (4.37), we make sure that the projectors $\Pi_\pm$ are commuting with all generators of conformal algebra (4.6)-(4.9). This implies that Lagrangians $\mathcal{L}_+$ and $\mathcal{L}_-$ (3.62) for the respective positive and negative chirality conformal fields are invariant under conformal algebra transformations.

To summarize we note that the Lagrangian, gauge transformations, and the operator R^a are determined by requiring that[7]
i) Lagrangian should not involve higher than first order terms in derivatives, while gauge transformations should not involve higher than first order terms in derivatives;
ii) the operator R^a should not involve higher than first order terms in derivatives;
iii) Lagrangian should be invariant with respect to gauge transformations and conformal algebra transformations.

These requirements allow us to determine the Lagrangian and gauge transformations uniquely. The operator R^a is determined uniquely up to the gauge transformation operator G and the operator E (as it should be in any theory of gauge fermionic fields).

5 On-shell degrees of freedom of conformal field

For $d = 4$ and arbitrary values s, $s \geq 1$, on-shell D.o.F of the spin-$(s+\frac{1}{2})$ conformal fermionic field have been discussed in Ref.[1]. Decomposition of on-shell D.o.F into irreps of the $so(d-2)$ algebra (which is $so(2)$ when $d = 4$) was discussed only for the case of spin-$\frac{3}{2}$ conformal field in 4-dimensional space in Ref.[26]. For arbitrary values s, $s \geq 1$, and arbitrary dimension of space, $d > 4$, on-shell D.o.F of the spin-$(s+\frac{1}{2})$ conformal fermionic field have not been discussed so far in the literature. Therefore, in this section, we present our result for on-shell D.o.F of the totally symmetric arbitrary spin-$(s+\frac{1}{2})$ conformal fermionic field in d-dimensional space, $d \geq 4$. Also we present our results for the decomposition of on-shell D.o.F into irreps of the $so(d-2)$ algebra for the case of arbitrary values of s and d.

To discuss on-shell D.o.F of the conformal fermionic field we exploit the light-cone gauge and use fields transforming in irreps of the $so(d-2)$ algebra.[8] Namely, we decompose on-shell D.o.F into non-chiral irreps of the $so(d-2)$ algebra. We find that on-shell complex-valued D.o.F of the totally symmetric spin-$(s+\frac{1}{2})$ conformal non-chiral fermionic field in d-dimensional space, $d \geq 4$, are described by the following set of non-chiral half-integer fields of the $so(d-2)$ algebra:

$$\psi_{k'}^{i_1..i_{s'}}\,, \qquad\qquad s' = 0, 1, \ldots, s\,, \qquad\qquad\qquad k' \in [k_{s'}]_2;$$

---

[7] In the framework of higher-derivative formulation, the uniqueness of interacting spin-2 conformal field theory was discussed in Ref.[25].

[8] Discussion of alternative methods for counting on-shell D.o.F may be found in Refs.[26, 27].

$$\psi_{k'}^{i_1..i_{s'}}\,, \qquad s' = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 0,1,\ldots,s; & \hbox{for } d\geq 6; \\ 1,2,\ldots,s; & \hbox{for } d=4; \end{array} \right. \qquad k' \in [k_{s'}-1]_2\,; \tag{5.1}$$

where $k_{s'}$ is defined in (3.2) and vector indices of the $so(d-2)$ algebra take values $i=1,2,\ldots,d-2$. In (5.1), the fields $\psi_{k'}$ and $\psi_{k'}^i$ are the respective non-chiral spinor and vector-spinor fields of the $so(d-2)$ algebra, while the field $\psi_{k'}^{i_1\ldots i_{s'}}$, $s'\geq 2$, is rank-s' totally symmetric tensor-spinor field of the $so(d-2)$ algebra. Fields $\psi_{k'}^{i_1\ldots i_{s'}}$ with $s'\geq 1$ are γ-traceless,

$$\gamma^i\psi_{k'}^{ii_2\ldots i_{s'}} = 0\,, \qquad s'\geq 1\,, \tag{5.2}$$

i.e., the vector-spinor and tensor-spinor fields $\psi_{k'}^{i_1\ldots i_{s'}}$ transform as non-chiral irreps of the $so(d-2)$ algebra. Obviously, set of fields in (5.1) is related to non-unitary representation of the conformal algebra $so(d,2)$.[9]

Alternatively, for $d\geq 6$, field content (5.1) can be represented as

$$\psi_{k'}^{i_1\ldots i_s}\,, \qquad k'\in[k_s]_2\,; \tag{5.3}$$

$$\psi_{k'}^{i_1\ldots i_s}\,, \qquad k'\in[k_s-1]_2\,; \tag{5.4}$$

$$\psi_{k'}^{i_1\ldots i_{s-1}}\,, \qquad k'\in[k_{s-1}]_2\,; \tag{5.5}$$

$$\psi_{k'}^{i_1\ldots i_{s-1}}\,, \qquad k'\in[k_{s-1}-1]_2\,; \tag{5.6}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \ldots\ldots & \ldots\ldots\ldots \\ \ldots\ldots & \ldots\ldots\ldots \end{array}$$

$$\psi_{k'}^{i}\,, \qquad k'\in[k_1]_2\,; \tag{5.7}$$

$$\psi_{k'}^{i}\,, \qquad k'\in[k_1-1]_2\,; \tag{5.8}$$

$$\psi_{k'}\,, \qquad k'\in[k_0]_2\,; \tag{5.9}$$

$$\psi_{k'}\,, \qquad k'\in[k_0-1]_2\,; \tag{5.10}$$

while, for $d=4$, field content (5.1) is given in (5.3)-(5.9). This is to say that spinor fields in (5.10) enter field content only for $d\geq 6$.

Total number of on-shell D.o.F for complex-valued non-chiral fermionic fields shown in (5.1) is given by

$$\mathbf{n} = 2^{\frac{d-2}{2}}(d-3)(2s+d-2)\frac{(s+d-3)!}{s!(d-2)!}\,. \tag{5.11}$$

For the particular value $d=4$, relation (5.11) leads to the following expressions for $\mathbf{n}$:

$$\mathbf{n}\Big|_{s-\mathrm{arbitrary};\ d=4} = 2(s+1)^2\,. \tag{5.12}$$

Result for $\mathbf{n}$ in (5.12) was obtained in Ref.[1]. Thus, our result in (5.11) agrees with the previously reported result related to the particular values of $d=4$ in (5.12) and gives expression for $\mathbf{n}$ in (5.11) corresponding to the arbitrary values of s and d.

---

[9] By now, unitary representations of (super)conformal algebras that are relevant for elementary particles are well understood (see, e.g., Refs.[28]-[32]). In contrast to this, non-unitary representations deserve to be understood better.

To summarize, our result in (5.1) gives decomposition of the on-shell complex-valued D.o.F into non-chiral representations of $so(d-2)$ algebra, while expression for $\mathbf{n}$ (5.11) gives the total number of on-shell D.o.F appearing in (5.1).

Expressions for $\mathbf{n}$ in (5.11) describes number of the complex-valued D.o.F of non-chiral conformal field. Numbers of complex-valued D.o.F of chiral conformal fermionic fields $|\psi_\pm\rangle$ (3.60), which we denote by $\mathbf{n}_\pm$, are obtained in a obvious way

$$\mathbf{n}_\pm = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{n}\,. \qquad (5.13)$$

For the reader convenience, we now explain how $\mathbf{n}$ given in (5.11) is obtained from decomposition in (5.1). By definition, $\mathbf{n}$ given in (5.11) is a sum of tensorial components of fields (5.1) subject to γ-tracelessness constraint (5.2). This is to say that $\mathbf{n}$ can be represented as

$$\mathbf{n} = \sum_{s'=0}^{s} \mathbf{n}^{s'}\,, \qquad (5.14)$$

$$\mathbf{n}^{s'} = \sum_{k'\in[k'_s]_2} \mathbf{n}(\psi_{k'}^{s'}) + \sum_{k'\in[k'_s-1]_2} \mathbf{n}(\psi_{k'}^{s'})\,, \qquad (5.15)$$

$$\mathbf{n}(\psi_{k'}^{s'}) = 2^{\frac{d-2}{2}}\frac{(s'+d-4)!}{s'!(d-4)!}\,, \qquad (5.16)$$

where $\mathbf{n}(\psi_{k'}^{s'})$ stands for D.o.F of rank-s' γ-traceless non-chiral spinor field $\psi_{k'}^{i_1\ldots i_{s'}}$. In other words, $\mathbf{n}(\psi_{k'}^{s'})$ is a dimension of the rank-s' γ-traceless non-chiral tensor-spinor field of the $so(d-2)$ algebra. Using (5.16) and taking into account the fact that there are $2k_{s'}+1$ rank-s' γ-traceless fields $\psi_{k'}^{s'}$, we note that relation for $\mathbf{n}^{s'}$ in (5.15) amounts to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{n}^{s'} & = & 2^{\frac{d-2}{2}}\frac{(s'+d-4)!}{s'!(d-4)!}(2k_{s'}+1) \\ & = & 2^{\frac{d-2}{2}}\frac{(s'+d-4)!}{s'!(d-4)!}(2s'+d-3)\,. \end{aligned} \qquad (5.17)$$

Plugging $\mathbf{n}^{s'}$ (5.17) into expression for $\mathbf{n}$ in (5.14) and using the textbook formula,

$$\sum_{s'=0}^{s}\frac{(s'+t)!}{s'!} = \frac{(s+t+1)!}{(t+1)s!}\,, \qquad (5.18)$$

we obtain total number of D.o.F $\mathbf{n}$ given in (5.11).

6 Conclusions

In this paper, we extended ordinary-derivative approach developed in Refs.[8, 9] to the study of totally symmetric arbitrary spin conformal fermionic fields. The results presented here should have a number of interesting applications and generalizations, some of which are:
i) application of BRST approach to the study of conformal fermionic fields. BRST approach was extensively used for the study of gauge invariant formulation of massive fields (see, e.g., Refs.[33]). As we have already noticed in Ref. [9], gauge invariant formulation of massive fields

and ordinary-derivative formulation of conformal fields share many common features. Therefore we think that application of BRST approach to the study of ordinary-derivative conformal fields theories should be relatively straightforward. Recent extensive study of higher-spin fermionic fields in the framework of BRST approach can be found in Ref.[34].
ii) application of our approach to theory of interacting higher-spin conformal fields. Various approaches to theory of interacting higher-spin fields were discussed in Refs.[35]-[45]. We note that most of these approaches can straightforwardly be generalized to the case of conformal fields. This will provides the possibility for the studying interaction vertices for conformal fermionic fields. In our approach, use of Stueckelberg fields is very similar to the one in gauge invariant formulation of massive fields. As is well known, the Stueckelberg fields provide systematical setup for the study of interacting massive gauge fermionic fields theory (see, e.g., Ref.[46]). We expect therefore that application of our approach to theory of interacting conformal fermionic fields might lead to new interesting development. In this respect it is worthwhile mentioning that BRST approach is one of the powerful methods for the studying interacting higher-spin field theories (see, e.g., Refs.[47]-[52].).
iii) ordinary-derivative formulation of conformal fields theory in terms of unconstrained fields. In Refs.[16, 17], various formulations of higher-spin dynamics in terms of unconstrained gauge fields were developed. Application of those formulations to the study of ordinary-derivative conformal fields theory could be of some interest.
iv) extension of our approach to mixed-symmetry fermionic fields. Mixed-symmetry higher-spin fields have extensively been studied in recent time (see, e.g., Ref.[53]). We think that ordinary-derivative formulation of mixed-symmetry conformal fermionic fields could be of some interest. Discussion of higher-derivative mixed-symmetry bosonic conformal fields may be found in Ref.[4], while the discussion of self-dual conformal fields in the framework of ordinary-derivative approach may be found in Ref.[54]. Needless to say that the study of conformal fermionic fields along the lines in Ref.[55] could be also of some interest.

Acknowledgments.
This work was supported by RFBR Grant No.11-02-00685.

References

[1] E. S. Fradkin and A. A. Tseytlin, Phys. Rept. **119**, 233 (1985).

[2] A. Y. Segal, Nucl. Phys. B **664**, 59 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0207212].

[3] R. R. Metsaev, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 106002 (2010) [arXiv:0907.4678 [hep-th]].

[4] M. A. Vasiliev, Nucl. Phys. B **829**, 176 (2010) [arXiv:0909.5226 [hep-th]].

[5] O.V.Shaynkman, I.Y.Tipunin and M.A.Vasiliev, Rev.Math.Phys. **18**, 823 (2006) hep-th/0401086

[6] V. K. Dobrev, Rev. Math. Phys. **20**, 407 (2008) [hep-th/0702152].
V. K. Dobrev, arXiv:1210.8067 [math-ph].
V. K. Dobrev, arXiv:1208.0409 [hep-th].

[7] K. Alkalaev, arXiv:1207.1079 [hep-th].

[8] R. R. Metsaev, JHEP **1201**, 064 (2012) [arXiv:0707.4437 [hep-th]].

[9] R. R. Metsaev, JHEP **1206**, 062 (2012) [arXiv:0709.4392 [hep-th]].

[10] Yu. M. Zinoviev, arXiv:hep-th/0108192.

[11] R. R. Metsaev, Phys. Lett. B **643**, 205 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0609029].

[12] M. A. Vasiliev, Nucl. Phys. B **301**, 26 (1988).

[13] K. Hallowell and A. Waldron, Nucl. Phys. B **724**, 453 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0505255].

[14] X. Bekaert and N. Boulanger, Commun. Math. Phys. **271**, 723 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0606198].
N. Boulanger, C. Iazeolla and P. Sundell, JHEP **0907**, 013 (2009) [arXiv:0812.3615 [hep-th]].
N. Boulanger, C. Iazeolla and P. Sundell, JHEP **0907**, 014 (2009) [arXiv:0812.4438 [hep-th]].

[15] J. Fang and C. Fronsdal, Phys. Rev. D **18**, 3630 (1978).

[16] A. Campoleoni, D. Francia, J. Mourad, A. Sagnotti, Nucl. Phys. B **828**, 405 (2010) [arXiv:0904.4447]

[17] D. Francia and A. Sagnotti, Phys. Lett. B **543**, 303 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0207002].
A. Sagnotti and M. Tsulaia, Nucl. Phys. B **682**, 83 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0311257].
D. Francia and A. Sagnotti, Phys. Lett. B **624**, 93 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0507144].
I.L. Buchbinder, A. Galajinsky and V. Krykhtin, Nucl.Phys.B **779**, 155 (2007) [hep-th/0702161].

[18] X. Bekaert and M. Grigoriev, SIGMA **6**, 038 (2010) [arXiv:0907.3195 [hep-th]].

[19] R. Bonezzi, E. Latini and A. Waldron, Phys. Rev. D **82**, 064037 (2010) [arXiv:1007.1724 [hep-th]].

[20] X. Bekaert, arXiv:1111.4554 [math-ph].

[21] A. Fotopoulos, K. L. Panigrahi and M. Tsulaia, Phys. Rev. D **74**, 085029 (2006) [hep-th/0607248].

[22] R. R. Metsaev, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 106010 (2008) [arXiv:0805.3472 [hep-th]].

[23] R. R. Metsaev, Phys. Rev. D **83**, 106004 (2011) [arXiv:1011.4261 [hep-th]].

[24] R. R. Metsaev, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 126011 (2012) [arXiv:1110.3749 [hep-th]].

[25] N. Boulanger and M. Henneaux, Annalen Phys. **10**, 935 (2001) [arXiv:hep-th/0106065].

[26] S. C. Lee and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, Phys. Rev. D **26**, 934 (1982).

[27] I. L. Buchbinder and S. L. Lyakhovich, Class. Quant. Grav. **4** (1987) 1487.

[28] N. T. Evans, J. Math. Phys. **8**, 170 (1967).
G. Mack, Commun. Math. Phys. **55**, 1 (1977).

[29] R. R. Metsaev, Phys. Lett. B **354**, 78 (1995).

[30] W. Siegel, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **4**, 2015 (1989).

[31] R. R. Metsaev, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **10**, 1719 (1995).

[32] V. K. Dobrev and V. B. Petkova, Phys. Lett. B **162**, 127 (1985).
M. Gunaydin, D. Minic and M. Zagermann, Nucl. Phys. B **534**, 96 (1998) hep-th/9806042

[33] I. L. Buchbinder and V. A. Krykhtin, Nucl. Phys. B **727**, 537 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0505092].
I. L. Buchbinder, V. A. Krykhtin and P. M. Lavrov, Nucl. Phys. B **762**, 344 (2007) hep-th/0608005
K. Alkalaev and M. Grigoriev, Nucl. Phys. B **853**, 663 (2011) [arXiv:1105.6111 [hep-th]].
M. Grigoriev and A. Waldron, Nucl. Phys. B **853**, 291 (2011) [arXiv:1104.4994 [hep-th]].

[34] A. A. Reshetnyak, arXiv:1211.1273 [hep-th].
P. Y. .Moshin and A. A. Reshetnyak, JHEP **0710**, 040 (2007) [arXiv:0707.0386 [hep-th]].
I. L. Buchbinder, V. Krykhtin and A. Reshetnyak, Nucl. Phys. B **787**, 211 (2007) [hep-th/0703049].

[35] E. S. Fradkin and M. A. Vasiliev, Phys. Lett. B **189**, 89 (1987).

[36] R. R. Metsaev, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **8**, 2413 (1993).

[37] R. R. Metsaev, Nucl. Phys. B **759**, 147 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0512342];

[38] R. R. Metsaev, Nucl. Phys. B **859**, 13 (2012) [arXiv:0712.3526 [hep-th]].

[39] A. Sagnotti and M. Taronna, Nucl. Phys. B **842**, 299 (2011) [arXiv:1006.5242 [hep-th]].

[40] R. Manvelyan, K. Mkrtchyan and W. Ruehl, Phys. Lett. B **696**, 410 (2011) [arXiv:1009.1054 [hep-th]].
R. Manvelyan, R. Mkrtchyan and W. Ruehl, arXiv:1210.7227 [hep-th].

[41] K. Alkalaev, JHEP **1103**, 031 (2011) [arXiv:1011.6109 [hep-th]].

[42] N. Boulanger, E. D. Skvortsov, JHEP **1109**, 063 (2011). [arXiv:1107.5028 [hep-th]].
N. Boulanger, E.D. Skvortsov, Y.M. Zinoviev, J.Phys. A **A44**, 415403 (2011). [1107.1872 [hep-th]].

[43] M. A. Vasiliev, Nucl. Phys. B **862**, 341 (2012) [arXiv:1108.5921 [hep-th]].

[44] E. Joung and M. Taronna, Nucl. Phys. B **861**, 145 (2012) [arXiv:1110.5918 [hep-th]].
E. Joung, L. Lopez and M. Taronna, JHEP **1207**, 041 (2012) [arXiv:1203.6578 [hep-th]].
E. Joung, L. Lopez and M. Taronna, arXiv:1207.5520 [hep-th].

[45] I. L. Buchbinder, T. Snegirev, Y. Zinoviev, Nucl. Phys. B **864**, 694 (2012) [arXiv:1204.2341 [hep-th]].

[46] R. R. Metsaev, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 025032 (2008) [arXiv:hep-th/0612279].

[47] X. Bekaert, N. Boulanger and S. Leclercq, J. Phys. A **43**, 185401 (2010) [arXiv:1002.0289 [hep-th]].

[48] A. Fotopoulos, N. Irges, A. C. Petkou, M. Tsulaia, JHEP **0710**, 021 (2007) [arXiv:0708.1399 [hep-th]].
A. Fotopoulos and M. Tsulaia, JHEP **1011**, 086 (2010) [arXiv:1009.0727 [hep-th]].
P. Dempster and M. Tsulaia, Nucl. Phys. B **865**, 353 (2012) [arXiv:1203.5597 [hep-th]].

[49] M. Taronna, JHEP **1204**, 029 (2012) [arXiv:1107.5843 [hep-th]].

[50] R. R. Metsaev, arXiv:1205.3131 [hep-th].

[51] M. Henneaux, G. Lucena Gomez and R. Rahman, JHEP **1208**, 093 (2012) [arXiv:1206.1048 [hep-th]].

[52] D. Polyakov, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **25**, 4623 (2010); [arXiv:1005.5512 [hep-th]]. Phys. Rev. D **83**, 046005 (2011) [arXiv:1011.0353 [hep-th]].

[53] X. Bekaert and N. Boulanger, Commun. Math. Phys. **245**, 27 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0208058].
Yu. M. Zinoviev, [arXiv:hep-th/0306292].
K. B. Alkalaev, O. V. Shaynkman and M. A. Vasiliev, arXiv:hep-th/0601225.
K. B. Alkalaev, Theor. Math. Phys. **149**, 1338 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0501105].
E. D. Skvortsov, JHEP **0807**, 004 (2008) [arXiv:0801.2268 [hep-th]].
E. D. Skvortsov, JHEP **1001**, 106 (2010) [arXiv:0910.3334 [hep-th]].
E. D. Skvortsov and Y. .M. Zinoviev, Nucl. Phys. B **843**, 559 (2011) [arXiv:1007.4944 [hep-th]].
I. L. Buchbinder and A. Reshetnyak, Nucl. Phys. B **862**, 270 (2012) [arXiv:1110.5044 [hep-th]].
C. Burdik and A. Reshetnyak, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. **343**, 012102 (2012) [arXiv:1111.5516 [hep-th]].
A. Campoleoni and D. Francia, arXiv:1206.5877 [hep-th].

[54] R. R. Metsaev, J. Phys. A **43**, 115401 (2010) [arXiv:0812.2861 [hep-th]].

[55] A. Rod Gover, E. Latini and A. Waldron, arXiv:1205.3489 [math.DG].
S. Deser, E. Joung and A. Waldron, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 104004 (2012) [arXiv:1208.1307 [hep-th]].
E. Joung and K. Mkrtchyan, arXiv:1209.4864 [hep-th].
X. Bekaert and M. Grigoriev, arXiv:1207.3439 [hep-th].
M. Grigoriev, arXiv:1204.1793 [hep-th].
K. B. Alkalaev, arXiv:1210.0217 [hep-th].
F. Bastianelli, R. Bonezzi, O. Corradini and E. Latini, arXiv:1210.4649 [hep-th].